



# 2025 REFEREE CASEBOOK



# **2025 USA Pickleball Official Referee Casebook**

**Publication date: January 1, 2025**

**Revised June 11, 2025**

USA Pickleball  
Website: [usapickleball.org](http://usapickleball.org)  
Cover Photo and Design Credit: USA Pickleball

2025©; All rights reserved. Any dissemination, distribution, publication, or copying of these cases without the express written consent of USA Pickleball Association is strictly prohibited.

The USA Pickleball Association logo is a registered trademark of USA Pickleball Association and use in any manner is prohibited unless written approval is obtained from the organization.

For more information about pickleball, contact:  
[info@usapickleball.org](mailto:info@usapickleball.org)

## FOREWORD

The 2025 USA Pickleball Official Referee Casebook is the official supplement to the USA Pickleball and IFP Official Rulebook. The Casebook is intended for those who officiate the sport, those who are learning to officiate and those players and fans that desire a better understanding of the rules of pickleball.

The Casebook contains accounts of actual scenarios where there was a question as to what rules apply to the situation and the appropriate ruling. Players, referees, and fans can contribute scenarios for possible inclusion in the Casebook. Those scenarios appropriate for the Casebook will then be submitted to the USA Pickleball Rules Committee for consideration. Only those scenarios whose rulings and interpretations have been approved by the Rules Committee will be included in the Casebook.

Proposed scenarios may be submitted at any time via email to the USA Pickleball Director of Officiating.

### Format

The Casebook is divided into two Sections. Section I, 2025 Casebook Topics, contains new topics that have been approved since the previous Casebook edition. Section II contains existing topics from the previous edition. The existing topics have been edited where necessary to reflect the 2025 rules and rule numbers.

Each Casebook item is uniquely numbered by year. Cases 1-24, 2-24, 3-24, and 4-24 are the four cases established in 2024. Cases 1-23, 2-23, and 3-23 were the first three cases in 2023 (deleted based on subsequent rule changes or clarifications). Case 1-22 was the first case in 2022, etc. Each is labeled by topic in order to identify the essential topic. All applicable rule numbers are then identified. There is no attempt to arrange the cases numerically by rule number, as there are often multiple rules related to the scenario. The description of the topic is intended to be the primary identifier for the reader to navigate the Casebook.

Each scenario is described in detail followed by the appropriate ruling. Some topics will have a 'Comment' paragraph, which is intended to give additional information related to the scenario or insight into the ruling and the spirit and intent of the rules.

Scenarios will always consist of teams playing doubles unless otherwise noted. In doubles, Team A will have A1 and A2 as partners while Team B will consist of B1 and B2 as partners. Any scenario action by the referee or players that is not specifically mentioned as being illegal can be assumed to be legal. For example, if the scenario begins with "Player A2 served....", and nothing more was noted about the serve or server, the assumption is that the score was correctly called, the server and receiver were both correct and ready, and the serve was legal.

### Navigation Tools

Each entry in the Table of Contents is a hyperlink. Clicking anywhere on the topic name takes the reader to that specific case. The referenced rule number in each case is a hyperlink to the [2025 USA Pickleball Official Rulebook](#).

The index lists rule numbers in numerical order and the cases to which the rule is applicable for those who wish to search for all cases that relate to a specific rule.

## **Revisions to the 2024 Casebook**

The following is a summary of the changes that were made to the 2024 Casebook:

**Case 2-24:** Scenario One is deleted. The matter is now covered in the 2025 Official Rulebook.

**Case 7-23:** The case is modified slightly to be consistent with 2025 rule changes.

**Case 13-23:** The case is substantively modified based on 2025 rule changes.

**Case 15-23:** The case was deleted. The matter is now covered in the 2025 Official Rulebook.

## **Revisions to the 2025 Casebook – January 2, 2025**

The following is a summary of the changes made to the 2025 Casebook:

**Case 1-25:** Further defined the definition of a Team in the event a player on a team is unable to continue play but the partner will finish the game/match

**Case 2-25:** Provides further clarity on when an Out call can and should be made to resolve a rally.

**Case 3-25:** Identifies an error in Rule 4.B.10 and provides information on the current wording and implementation of the rule.

## **Revisions to the 2025 Casebook – June 11, 2025**

Three new cases added:

**Case 4-25:** PENALTIES AFTER THE MATCH IS OVER

**Case 5-25:** FAULT AND FOUL ENFORCEMENT IN RALLY SCORING

**Case 6-25:** PLAYER'S HAT (OR OTHER ITEM) FALLS TO THE GROUND

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

### Section I – 2025 Casebook Topics

CASE 1-25: CONTINUING PLAY AFTER A MEDICAL TIME-OUT.....	1
CASE 2-25: “OUT” CALL AFTER THE BALL IS DEAD .....	1
CASE 3-25: WRONG RECEIVER FAULT RULE .....	2
CASE 4-25: PENALTIES AFTER THE MATCH IS OVER .....	3
CASE 5-25: FAULT AND FOUL ENFORCEMENT IN RALLY SCORING .....	4
CASE 6-25: PLAYER’S HAT (OR OTHER ITEM) FALLS TO THE GROUND.....	5

### Section II – Existing Casebook Topics

CASE 1-24: QUESTIONABLE SERVE, PLACEMENT OF FEET.....	7
CASE 2-24: USE OF PADDLE TO EXECUTE BALL RELEASE .....	7
CASE 3-24: CHANGE OF STARTING SERVER.....	8
CASE 4-24: NVZ FAULT INVOLVING TOURNAMENT-ISSUED EQUIPMENT .....	8
CASE 4-23: COIN FLIP TO DETERMINE MATCH WINNER .....	9
CASE 5-23: RESCINDING A REQUESTED MEDICAL TIME-OUT.....	10
CASE 6-23: PADDLE TOSS OR THROW .....	10
CASE 7-23: PLAYER ACTIONS AFTER THE SCORE IS CALLED .....	11
CASE 8-23: RE-ESTABLISHING AFTER CONTACTING THE NON-VOLLEY ZONE .....	12
CASE 9-23: QUESTIONABLE SERVE, SERVED BALL LANDS OUT .....	12
CASE 13-23: LINE CALL APPEALS AFTER A DEAD BALL .....	13
CASE 14-23: DUAL NVZ MOMENTUM FAULTS .....	13
CASE 1-22: DEFLECTING NET CONTACTS A PLAYER.....	14
CASE 2-22: BALL CONTACTS A PLAYER’S HAT .....	15
CASE 3-22: CALLING TIME-OUT TO GET THE REFEREE’S ATTENTION .....	15
CASE 5-22: BALL TRAVELS BETWEEN THE NET AND NET POST AFTER LANDING.....	15
CASE 6-22: RECORDING THE PENALTY FOR A TECHNICAL FOUL.....	16
CASE 1-21: MULTIPLE SIMULTANEOUS FAULTS .....	17
Index of Cases by Rule Number.....	18

## SECTION I – 2025 CASEBOOK TOPICS

### CASE 1-25: CONTINUING PLAY AFTER A MEDICAL TIME-OUT

**Applicable Rule(s):** [10.B.2](#); [10.B.2.c](#); [12.F.2](#); [12.F.3](#); [13.H.4](#); [10.H.2.a](#)

**SCENARIO:** During a doubles match, Player B2 sustains an injury (not a head injury) and calls a medical time-out. Medical personnel arrive, determine it to be a valid medical condition, and render treatment. The 15-minute time-out period (including any standard time-outs the team may choose to use) expires. Team B realizes the injury will prevent Player B2 from attempting to actively play but chooses to continue to play stating that Player B2 will either remain on the playing surface and out of the way of their partner or, will be completely off the playing surface if necessary for safety reasons. Team A claims that because Player B2 will not be actively playing, they should win the match by Retirement per Rule 12.F.2. What is the ruling?

**RULING:** Team B must be allowed to continue play, despite the disadvantage of playing two against one and incurring faults when Player B1 is not the correct server or receiver.

**COMMENT:** Section 12.F establishes the conditions and rules governing Retirements. In doubles, Rule 12.F.2 imposes a Retirement when the **team** cannot continue play or chooses to discontinue playing. The term “player/team” in the Rulebook is shorthand for “singles player or doubles team.” Retirement is fundamentally a team decision.

While doubles teams are required to have both players in attendance and ready to play to start a match (Rule 13.H.4), there is no rule that prohibits play with only one of the two partners. This is not unusual, as most team sports allow ongoing play with less than the full complement of a team’s players.

**NOTE:** Except for a possible concussion, the risk of playing with an injury or other medical issue is ultimately the player’s choice. Generally, referees can utilize Rule 10.H.2.a (extenuating circumstances) to request medical attention any time they judge it to be in the interest of a player’s health and well-being. In this case, medical personnel have already attended to the player. Once play resumes, if in the referee’s judgement the player’s condition has worsened, the referee may still utilize Rule 10.H.2.a to call a referee time-out and re-call medical personnel to evaluate the player’s condition.

---

### CASE 2-25: “OUT” CALL AFTER THE BALL IS DEAD

**Applicable Rule(s):** [6.C.3](#); [6.C.7.b](#)

**SCENARIO:** Player B1 was unable to reach a put-away shot from Player A1 that landed very close to the sideline. Player B1 believes the ball was “out” but the ball becomes dead (i.e., the ball bounced a second time, or hit the fence, etc.) before B1 makes a line call. What should happen next?

**COMMENT:** There must be a prompt resolution of the rally before the game can continue.

Prior to 2025, Rule 6.C.7 required **all** “out” calls to be made 1.) before the ball becomes dead, or 2.) before their opponent hits the ball, when the player returns the ball, they believe is out.

Under the current rule Team B would have lost the opportunity to make an “out” call in the above scenario. The change to 6.C.7, specifically new Rule 6.C.7.b, is intended to allow an out call to be made after the ball becomes dead in a timely manner when the player does not return the ball.

While Rule 6.C.7.b now states that an “out” call may be made up until the next serve occurs, an out call must still be promptly made because play cannot proceed without knowing the new score, the new server, or that there is a side out. The player may still, in a timely manner, request a ruling from the referee or request a call from the opponent to resolve the rally. In officiated play if the referee does not hear or see an immediate out call, they will announce the outcome of the rally which, in the above scenario, would be “Point,” based on Rule 6.C.3 that states that a ball not called out promptly is considered “In”. Team B would typically then make their “out” call to ensure that the referee correctly announces and records the proper outcome of the rally. In non-officiated games players are expected to immediately resolve the ending of the current rally before a point is awarded, change of server, or a side out. Fair play and sportsmanship are required in all cases in Pickleball, and this is no exception.

---

## **CASE 3-25: WRONG RECEIVER FAULT RULE**

**Applicable Rule(s):** [4.B.10](#)

**SCENARIO:** During a doubles match, the teams are preparing to start new rally with Team A serving at 8-4-1. Player A1 is correctly positioned at their right serving area and is supposed to serve to Player B1 who is correctly positioned at their right serving area. However, after a long, hard-fought rally, the players have lost track of who was serving and Player A2 is preparing to serve incorrectly from their left serving area and no one at the time notices the error.

The score is called and Player A2 serves the ball. Player A1 then realizes the incorrect server error and stops play. What is the ruling?

**RULING:** The rally should be replayed with Player A1 correctly serving to Player B1.

**COMMENT:** In 2024, Rule 4.B.9 eliminated the fault for all incorrect server, receiver, and position errors. The purpose of the 2025 change to this rule (now 4.B.10) was to re-institute only a wrong receiver fault when everything is correct at the start of a rally (i.e., players were positioned correctly with the correct server ready to serve and the correct receiver ready to receive). All other player and position errors, including a wrong server error, were to remain a replay when the rally is not played to its conclusion.

In a refereed match, the referee should recognize and correct the wrong server error before calling the score, so this scenario would only occur when all players and the referee do not initially recognize the wrong server error.

The intent of 4.B.10 change was to fault a player that was correctly lined up for a serve return but incorrectly received the serve. This could occur if a ball clipped the top of the net and fell into the service box close to the NVZ and the player felt that their partner would not be able to reach the ball before a double bounce occurred. Another instance might be if team players were stacking and the incorrect receiver, even by mistake, played the serve.

NOTE: An addendum to the 2025 Rulebook will be issued to correct the wording of the first paragraph in Rule 4.B.10 to read “If the correct server and correct receiver are in their correct positions when the score is called, it is a fault on a player who receives incorrectly, even if the rally is completed before the fault is called. The fault must be called before the next serve.”

---

## CASE 4-25: PENALTIES AFTER THE MATCH IS OVER

Applicable Rule(s): [13.G.4](#)

NOTE: This case provides guidance for Head Referees and Tournament Directors.

**SCENARIO 1:** When Team B scores the match-winning point, the referee declares, “Point, game, match.” Afterwards, while still on court, Players A1 and A2 each throw their paddles. The referee determines that each player threw their paddle aggressively in frustration with negligent disregard of the consequences, thus both instances rose to the level of a technical foul (TF). As required, the referee reports the behavior to the Tournament Director (TD) who elects to impose a TF that will be applied to each offending player’s next match at the tournament. The following situations may occur:

- 1) In the next match at the tournament, Players A1 and A2 will be playing on the same team.
- 2) In the next match at the tournament, Players A1 and A2 will be playing separately.

How should the Head Referee (HR) advise the TD regarding penalty application in the next match? How should the HR’s advice change if the TD elects to impose a technical warning (TW)?

**RULING:** The TD must evaluate the player’s behavior and response to the situation and has the authority to eject the players from the tournament if warranted.

If the players are allowed to play and will be partners in the next match:

- (a) *TF’s Imposed:* If both TFs transferred, the result would be a match forfeit. Since the TD did not eject the players from the tournament and chose to allow the players to play, only one team TF will be recorded. The opposing team will be awarded one point to start the match. Any additional penalties recorded during the match will be considered in addition to the existing team TF to determine game or match impacts.
- (b) *TW’s Imposed:* Each TW will transfer resulting in a team TF. The opposing team will be awarded one point to start the match. Any additional penalties recorded during the match will be considered in addition to the existing team TF to determine game or match impacts.

If they play separately in their next match:

- (a) *TF’s Imposed:* Each player’s TF will transfer to their respective next match. One team TF will be recorded against each offending player’s team in the next match. Each opposing team will be awarded one point to start the match. Any additional penalties recorded will be considered in addition to the existing team TF to determine any game or match impacts.
- (b) *TW’s Imposed:* Each player’s TW will transfer to their respective next match. One team TW will be recorded against each offending player’s team in the next match. Any additional penalties recorded during each player’s next match will be considered in addition to the existing team TW to determine any game or match impacts.

**COMMENT:** This application achieves two key objectives: (1) promotes good sportsmanship and player safety by holding offending players accountable; and (2) supports a tournament's success by allowing a fair avenue for offending players to continue to participate.

---

## **CASE 5-25: FAULT AND FOUL ENFORCEMENT IN RALLY SCORING**

**Applicable Rule(s):** [4.F.1](#), [12.B.1.c](#), [13.G.3.c](#), [CASE 6-22](#)

**SCENARIO 1:** A singles game to 15 points is being played using the rally scoring option. Player A is serving at 14-14, serves the ball into the net which quickly drops to the ground. After the ball lands, the player shouts an extremely loud profanity. When the rally is over, the referee determines that the profanity warrants the issuance of a technical foul. How does the referee announce the result and its effect on the game?

**RULING:** Player A committed two infractions, a technical foul, and a service fault. Casebook 6-22 indicates that the infractions are to be applied in their order of occurrence. The referee will announce the fault and side out, and award a point to the receiver for winning the rally because in the rally scoring option, a receiver earns a point by winning a rally that was played with the receiver not at game point. The referee then announces the technical foul (with the requisite brief explanation) and deducts a point from Player A. Applying both infractions results in Player B winning the game 15-13.

**SCENARIO 2:** The same as Scenario 1 except Player A's serve clips the top of the net and is clearly not going to land in the correct service court. Before the ball lands, the player shouts the extremely loud profanity. How does the referee announce the result and its effect on the game?

**RULING:** As with Scenario 1, the infractions are applied in their order of occurrence. In this case, the referee would first announce the technical foul and the loss of a point from Player A, then announce the fault and award a point to Player B for winning the rally. Applying both infractions results in Player B winning the game 15-13.

**COMMENT:** It may appear in these scenarios that Player B should not win the game on the basis that when a point was deducted from Player A for the technical foul, the score became 13-14 which put the receiver at game point. However, the overriding factor here is that the rally was fully played with the receiver not at game point and the receiver therefore rightfully earned a point. The technical foul being applied first does not change the fact that the rally was fully played with the score at 14-14. The principle that both infractions must be applied along with each corresponding penalty governs.

**NOTE:** Rules [4.F.1](#) and [12.B.1.c](#) were not written with this unusual combination of events in mind. The wording is based not awarding a point on a rally that is played with a receiver at game point. In these cases, the rally was played with the receiver not at game point and therefore the receiver earns the point for winning the rally. Additionally, the effect would otherwise be unjust in that Player A would essentially be absolved of one of the two infractions they committed, which conflicts with principle that all infractions and penalties must be applied.

---

## CASE 6-25: PLAYER'S HAT (OR OTHER ITEM) FALLS TO THE GROUND

**Applicable Rule(s):** [7.H](#), [9.B](#), [9.B.1](#), [9.C](#)

**SCENARIO 1:** During a rally, Player A1's hat falls from their head. The opponent returns a shot back toward the player and the ball hits Player A1's hat while the hat is still in the air (i.e., before the hat lands on the ground). What is the referee's call?

**RULING:** The referee will call a fault on Player A1 and award the rally to Team B.

**COMMENT:** Per Rule 7.H, it is a fault on a player when a ball in play contacts the player or anything the player is wearing or carrying other than the paddle or paddle hand below the wrist. Any item that a player is wearing or carrying is considered part of the player until the item lands and comes to rest on the ground. Therefore, when the ball hits the hat while the hat is still in the air, it is treated the same as though the player was still wearing the hat.

**SCENARIO 2:** Player A1 is near the non-volley zone and is going hit a volley. Just before hitting the volley, the player's hat (or other item) falls off and the volley is struck while the hat is still in the air. After the volley is struck, the hat lands in the non-volley zone. The referee calls a non-volley zone fault. The player claims that it should not be a fault because he was not wearing the hat when the volley was struck. What is the correct ruling?

**RULING:** The referee's ruling of a non-volley zone fault is correct.

**COMMENT:** The player is not in the act of volleying prior to striking the volley (Rule 9.B.1), and the hat was not in contact with the player at the moment the volley was struck. However, consistent with Scenario 1, the governing principle is that anything a player is or was wearing or carrying is considered part of the player until it lands and comes to rest on the ground. Since the hat was still considered part of the player when the volley was struck and it landed in the non-volley zone, this constitutes a non-volley zone fault under Rule 9.B.

**SCENARIO 3:** Player A1 is near the non-volley zone and is going hit a volley. Immediately after the volley is struck, the player loses their grip on the paddle which falls to the ground. The paddle initially touches the ground outside the non-volley zone but its momentum causes the paddle to come to rest partially inside and partially outside the non-volley zone. What is the correct ruling?

**RULING:** The referee must call a fault against Player A1.

**COMMENT:** As with Scenario 2, anything a player is or was wearing or carrying is considered part of the player until it lands and comes to rest on the ground. In this case, the paddle is still part of the player until it lands and comes to rest on the ground. The act of volleying does not end the moment the paddle first touched the ground if the momentum of the paddle continues to carry it toward the non-volley zone. The paddle came to rest in contact with the non-volley zone, therefore by Rule 9.C, the player committed a non-volley zone momentum fault.

**SCENARIO 4:** Player A1 is near the non-volley zone and is going hit a volley. As the player is preparing to volley the ball, the player's hat falls off, lands and comes to rest in the non-volley zone before the volley is struck. What is the correct ruling?

**RULING:** The referee does not call a fault and must allow the rally to continue to completion.

**COMMENT:** In this case, the item landed and came to rest before the act of volleying commenced. The item at that point is considered part of the court and no longer part of the player and therefore the player did not commit a non-volley zone fault.

---

## SECTION II – EXISTING CASEBOOK TOPICS

### CASE 1-24: QUESTIONABLE SERVE, PLACEMENT OF FEET

**Applicable Rule(s):** [4.A.9](#); [4.A.4](#)

**SCENARIO:** While positioned near the far sideline, a standing player serves the ball. The referee is uncertain whether the player's foot was touching the playing surface outside the imaginary extension of the sideline at the moment the ball was served. Before the return of serve, the referee calls for a replay. The player asserts to the referee that questionable serve replays only apply to service motion issues and not foot or wheel placement. Did the referee make the correct call?

**RULING:** Yes.

**COMMENT:** Rule 4.A.9 states referees may call for a replay before the return of serve if they are uncertain whether "one or more of the requirements of the serve has been met." The issue here is whether proper placement of the feet is a requirement of the serve. In order to make a legal serve, a standing player must comply with the feet placement requirements outlined in Rule 4.A.4. Specifically, Rule 4.A.4.c states "neither of the server's feet may touch the playing surface outside the imaginary extension of the sideline or centerline." Here, the referee was uncertain whether the server's foot was touching the playing service outside the imaginary extension of the sideline the moment the ball was served. Therefore, in accordance with Rule 4.A.9, the referee's call for a replay was correct.

**NOTE:** The same would be true for any of the requirements in Rules 4.A.4, 4.A.5, 4.A.6, 4.A.7 and 4.A.8, all of which are "requirements of the serve" covered in Rule 4.A.9.

---

### CASE 2-24: USE OF PADDLE TO EXECUTE BALL RELEASE

**Applicable Rule(s):** [4.A.5](#)

**SCENARIO:** The referee calls the score. The correct server prepares to serve and holds the ball with her non-paddle hand against the face of her paddle. To execute the release, she releases her non-paddle hand grip on the ball and simultaneously moves the paddle away from the ball. The ball begins to fall towards the ground. Before the ball hits the ground, she contacts the ball with the paddle. All other requirements of the serve were met, and the served ball lands in bounds. Did the player execute a legal serve?

**RULING:** No.

**COMMENT:** The issue in this scenario is whether the server is permitted to use their paddle in conjunction with their non-paddle hand to release the ball during a volley serve. Rule 4.A.5 permits only one hand or only the paddle to be used to release the ball during the serve. Here, in addition to the non-paddle hand, the server uses her paddle and, therefore, a second hand to perform the release. In accordance with Rule 4.A.5, the server may use their non-paddle hand to release the ball. Alternatively, a server may use their paddle (while held by their

paddle hand) to release the ball. The use of any additional object or body part to effectuate the release violates Rule 4.A.5."

---

## **CASE 3-24: CHANGE OF STARTING SERVER**

**Applicable Rule(s):** [5.A.2](#), [4.B.10](#)

**SCENARIO:** Player A1 was the starting server for Game 1 of a match. Between games, the team decides to change starting server but did not remember to move the starting server identification to Player A2 and did not notify the referee (or their opponents in non-officiated matches). Player A2 serves the ball to start Game 2. The referee immediately stops play, identifies the error, and calls for a replay with Player A1 to serve. They tell the referee they intended to make the change for the second game. Are they allowed to change the starting server now (before the second rally of the game) and have Player A2 be the starting server, or must they finish the game with Player A1 as the starting server?

**RULING:** The team will be allowed to make the change to Player A2 as the starting server.

**COMMENT:** Although the referee should have confirmed player correctness before calling the score, the referee correctly stopped play after the serve since Player A1 was still wearing the starting server identification. The call for a replay would also stand if the players had notified the referee (or their opponents in non-officiated matches) of their intent to change starting server but failed to exchange the starting server identification.

The error was discovered during the first rally of the game. The team indicated it was their intent to change per Rule 5.A.2 and must now replay the rally. In the event the referee or players announced or detected the error after the first rally was completed, the result of the rally would stand. It is reasonable to allow the players to change starting server but the decision must be made at this point only (i.e., before the second rally) and cannot be changed thereafter.

In this case, the referee would make the appropriate annotation on the scoresheet, the players would exchange starting server identification, and the first rally of Game 2 would be replayed with Player A2 to serve.

**NOTE:** The outcome would be the same if this team was receiving to start the second game. They would be allowed to make the change before the second rally of the game. The players and the referee would make the appropriate changes and the game would resume with a replay of the first rally of Game 2 with Player A2 receiving.

---

## **CASE 4-24: NVZ FAULT INVOLVING TOURNAMENT-ISSUED EQUIPMENT**

**Applicable Rule(s):** [3.A.16](#), [8.C](#), [9.B](#), [11.G](#), [11.H](#), [13.A.2](#)

**SCENARIO 1:** The Tournament Director mandates paddle inspections. When a paddle passes inspection, a tournament official issues an inspection sticker and applies it to the paddle. During a rally, a player is in the act of volleying when the inspection sticker falls from their paddle and lands in the non-volley zone (NVZ) due to adhesion failure. Should the referee call a fault under Rule 9.B?

**SCENARIO 2:** The Tournament Director issues required starting server identification bands that latch to close. In a doubles match during a rally, a player from Team A is in the act of volleying when the band they are wearing falls and lands in the NVZ due to failure of the band's latch closure. Should the referee call a fault under Rule 9.B?

**RULING:** The referee should allow play to continue and not call a fault in either scenario. If the referee incorrectly stops play, a replay shall occur.

**COMMENT:** Players should not be held responsible for failure of equipment that is supplied by and required to be worn or utilized by the Tournament Director.

**NOTE:** This ruling is appropriate where the malfunction is due to failure or defect in the tournament-issued item. However, a fault call would be appropriate if it is determined that a malfunction is due to a negligent act or omission of a player rather than a defect in the item itself, such as where a player tied the identification band to their shoelace which became loose.

**SCENARIO 3:** Continuing Scenario 2, the rally proceeds with the identification band on the ground in the NVZ. A player from Team B returns the ball, which bounces on the band, causing it to deflect beyond the reach of Team A and resulting in a dead ball. Team B claims they won the rally based on Rule 11.H. Team A claims a hinder and a replay in accordance with Rule 8.C. What should be the referee's ruling?

**RULING:** The referee should rule that a valid hinder has occurred and call for a replay. The malfunction of the identification band was not caused by the player. It was therefore a transient occurrence that caused an adverse impact on Team A's ability to make a play on the ball.

---

## **CASE 4-23: COIN FLIP TO DETERMINE MATCH WINNER**

**Applicable Rule(s):** [13.H.4](#); [13.A.1](#)

**SCENARIO:** In a doubles match, 2 out of 3 games, only one of the four players is present at the 10-minute mark. All of the appropriate notifications were made for second and/or final call. At 10 minutes, both teams are assessed a game forfeit. At 15 minutes, three of the four players have not reported, so both teams are assessed a match forfeit. How is the match winner determined?

**RULING:** The Tournament Director, or the Tournament Director's designee, will conduct a coin toss to determine the match winner.

**COMMENT:** The rules do not provide a formal tiebreaker method for this rare situation. Since a winner must be determined, a coin toss is a fair and equitable way to determine the winner.

**NOTE:** The scenario would be the same if none of the players reported in time, if only one player reported in time, or if only one player from each team reported in time.

---

## CASE 5-23: RESCINDING A REQUESTED MEDICAL TIME-OUT

**NOTE:** The ruling on this case has been revised for 2024 based on the USA Pickleball Rules Committee's action to require that the medical time-out be assessed. The case previously stated that neither a medical time-out or a regular time-out would be assessed.

**Applicable Rule(s):** [10.B](#)

**SCENARIO:** A player requests a medical time-out and the referee summons the medical personnel. After several minutes, and before medical personnel have arrived, the player declares she can resume play. The player claims that a medical time-out should not be assessed because medical personnel have not arrived yet. The opponent claims that the medical time-out should be assessed or, at the very least, a regular time-out should be assessed.

**RULING:** The player is assessed the medical time-out. Play is resumed in accordance with Rule 10.A.5.

**COMMENT:** The player requested a medical time-out, medical personnel were summoned, and the player benefitted from the play stoppage. The medical time-out is therefore charged to the player.

---

## CASE 6-23: PADDLE TOSS OR THROW

**NOTE:** The purpose of this casebook entry is to provide better consistency on how the referee addresses paddle tosses, throws or drops. It is reasonable and appropriate to allow players to vent a certain level of frustration without penalty, which can include some paddle tosses, even mildly aggressive ones. The referee is still authorized to determine whether the paddle toss was unsportsmanlike or, combined with other circumstances, warrants an appropriate penalty.

**Applicable Rule(s):** under Rule [13.G.1](#), [13.G.1.i](#), [13.G.2.a](#)

13.G.1 authorizes the referee to issue a verbal warning for behavior that could escalate to a level that calls for a more severe penalty, or behavior that should not be repeated.

13.G.1.i authorizes the referee to issue a technical warning for minor unsportsmanlike behavior.

13.G.2.a authorizes the referee to issue a technical foul for an aggressive or reckless paddle throw that shows disregard for consequences but which does not strike another person or damage property.

**SCENARIO ONE:** After a timeout has been called, a player at the NVZ casually tosses their paddle to the baseline to mark their position for the next rally.

**RULING:** This is allowed. **No penalty is assessed.**

**SCENARIO TWO:** After losing a rally, the player yells 'Timeout!'. Clearly frustrated, they toss the paddle with some aggression from the NVZ to the baseline to mark their position for the next rally, then they storm off the court. The paddle may bounce, but it lands at the baseline and does not come close to any players or court fixtures.

**RULING:** No penalty is assessed, unless the referee determines that the action rises to the level of 'minor unsportsmanlike' behavior and **assesses a verbal warning or technical warning.**

**SCENARIO THREE:** After losing a rally, the player throws their paddle with some force at their feet directly in front of them. The paddle bounces loudly once or twice but stays in the general location of the player. No other players are around. The court is not damaged.

**RULING:** Since the paddle was thrown with some force, it is appropriate in this situation **to assess a verbal warning** for minor unsportsmanlike behavior, **or a technical warning** if the referee determines that the extent of force of the throw and the player's body language rises to the level that warrants the more severe penalty.

**SCENARIO FOUR:** After losing a rally, the player yells 'timeout' then throws their paddle with some force against the fence by their towel or water bottle, hard enough to be easily seen and heard. Spectators are on the other side of the fence. The paddle lands by their towel or water bottle.

**RULING:** It is appropriate in this situation **to assess a technical warning** for minor unsportsmanlike behavior **or a technical foul** if the referee determines that the extent of force of the throw and the player's body language rises to the level that warrants the more severe penalty.

**SCENARIO FIVE:** After losing a rally, the player throws their paddle with some force against a wall, fence, or the net. The paddle rebounds in an obvious random direction.

**RULING:** It is appropriate in this situation **to assess a technical foul** for throwing the paddle with negligent disregard for the consequences.

---

## **CASE 7-23: PLAYER ACTIONS AFTER THE SCORE IS CALLED**

**Applicable Rule(s):** [3.A.7](#); [4.B.8](#); [4.C.2](#); [13.C.5.h](#); [13.G.1.e](#)

**SCENARIO ONE:** The referee calls the correct score. As the server starts their service motion but before the serve is hit, the receiver says "Wait, wait" and says they did not hear the score and therefore did not know if the correct score was called. The server claims that a distraction should be called because they were about to hit the ball to make the serve. What should the referee do?

**RULING:** The referee will stop play and re-call the score to start play.

**COMMENT:** The referee will acknowledge the play stoppage in accordance with Rule 4.C.2 and then deal with the reason for the stoppage. In this case, asking for clarification of the score before the serve is hit is a legitimate question. This does not constitute a distraction since the question and its timing is common to the game and, since the serve was not hit, it did not interfere with the server's ability to hit the ball.

**SCENARIO TWO:** After the referee has called the score and before the ball is served, one of the receivers says "Wait, wait" and the receivers begin talking to each other without saying anything else to the referee. The referee stops play and asks why they said, "Wait, wait" and is

told they needed to talk to each other. Nothing occurred that would constitute a hinder. What should the referee do?

**RULING:** The referee will stop play, issue a verbal warning or technical warning for delay of game, and re-call the score to start play.

**COMMENT:** As in Scenario One, the referee will acknowledge the play stoppage and deal with the reason. In this case, when the player's actions constitute an unreasonable delay, the referee will issue a warning and recall the score to start the rally. The extent of warning (i.e., VW or TW) is the referee's discretion based on the circumstances involved.

---

## **CASE 8-23: RE-ESTABLISHING AFTER CONTACTING THE NON-VOLLEY ZONE**

**Applicable Rule(s):** [9.D](#)

**SCENARIO:** All four players are dinking at the NVZ. Player A1 attempts a high lob causing Player B1 to hit a volley in return. During the execution of the volley, Player B1 has her right foot on the ground outside the NVZ while the left foot is still touching the NVZ. Her left foot pushes off from the NVZ line back and away from the NVZ and the edge of her left heel touches the ground outside of the NVZ before hitting the volley. No other portion of either foot is now touching the NVZ.

**RULING:** There is no NVZ fault. The volley shot was legal.

**COMMENT:** After a player contacts the NVZ, Rule 9.D states that a volley shot cannot be made until *“both feet have made contact with the playing surface completely outside the non-volley zone.”* In this case, which is a typical push-off from the NVZ, once the entire foot is clear of the NVZ and any part of the player's second foot touched the ground outside the NVZ before the volley was struck, the volley shot was legal. The rule does not require that the entire foot be placed on the ground in order to satisfy Rule 9.D.

---

## **CASE 9-23: QUESTIONABLE SERVE, SERVED BALL LANDS OUT**

**Applicable Rule(s):** [4.A.9](#)

**SCENARIO:** A player makes a serve with a service motion that the referee deems questionable and intends to call for a replay under Rule 4.A.9. The served ball lands outside the receiver's service court. Which action takes precedence, the replay or a service fault for serving out of bounds?

**RULING:** The action that the referee observes as the basis for a replay under Rule 4.A.9 occurred before the ball landed out of bounds. Therefore, calling for the replay takes precedence.

**COMMENT:** This is same as would apply if the player made a serve that the referee is certain was illegal and warrants a service fault call. In both cases, the referee must not wait for the serve to land before making the call. It is expected that the referee's call, whether it is a replay or a fault, will be made promptly and decisively before the served ball lands.

---

## CASE 13-23: LINE CALL APPEALS AFTER A DEAD BALL

**Applicable Rule(s):** [6.C.7.a](#), [6.C.7.b](#)

**SCENARIO:** Players A1 and A2 are playing against B1 and B2. Player A1 serves to B1. The ball lands near the feet of B1, who plays the ball. The return of serve by B1 goes over the net and lands wide, whereupon A2 calls the ball out. Player B2 then asks the referee if they saw the serve that landed at the feet of B1, questioning whether or not A1's serve hit out of bounds.

**RULING:** The referee cannot rule on the player's question because Team B never made an 'out' call of the serve. Team B cannot play the ball (as they did), and then question the serve by A1 after the outcome of player B1's return of serve is known/determined. Rule 6.C.7.a requires that an 'out' call by Team B be made before either the ball becomes dead or before Team A plays the ball. Neither happened in this case. The return of serve by B1 became dead as soon as the ball bounced and Team A called it 'out', so any 'out' call by Team B of A1's serve was required before Team A called B1's return of serve 'out'.

**COMMENT:** There are two underlying principles in this scenario. The first is that line call appeals to the referee can only be made when the ball is dead. Questioning the referee about balls that have bounced during live play will be ignored by the referee. The second is the basis for Rule 6.C.7.a, which prohibits the two-chance option. Some refer to this by the idioms 'two bites of the apple' or 'having your cake and eating it too.' No matter what it is called, it results in an unfair advantage if allowed to occur.

Here is why it is unfair: If a player chooses to keep the ball in play by returning the shot and to not make an 'out' call in the proper time frame, the player has made their choice and must live with the result of their decision. If the player's shot results in a fault (landing out of bounds, going into the net and hitting the ground, hitting a permanent object, etc.), they cannot now go back in time and ask the referee for a second chance to win the rally.

**NOTE:** If Team B had not returned the serve, they could have made an 'out' call on A1's serve, even after the ball was dead in accordance with Rule 6.C.7.b, and then either appealed their call to the referee, appealed their call to the opponent, or overruled their 'out' call to their disadvantage if they thought it was actually 'in'.

---

## CASE 14-23: DUAL NVZ MOMENTUM FAULTS

**Applicable Rule(s):** [9.B.1](#); [9.C](#)

**SCENARIO:** Player A is near the non-volley zone (NVZ) and volleys the ball toward Player B but starts to windmill in an effort to stop his momentum from the volley. Player B, also near the NVZ, then volleys a return shot and begins to windmill to stop her momentum. With both players simultaneously trying to stop their momentum, Player B fails and steps into the NVZ after which Player A fails and steps into the NVZ. Which player committed the fault?

**RULING:** Player A is charged with the fault.

**COMMENT:** When both teams commit a fault, the referee will call the fault that was committed first. When momentum is involved in a volley shot, Rule 9.B states that the "act of volleying" includes the span of time during which momentum occurs. The infraction is the faulty act of

volleying. The act of volleying starts when the volley is struck and ends when contact with the NVZ occurs. In this scenario, the fault is assessed against Player A because their act of volleying started before Player B's started. The fact that Player B's act of volleying ended first is irrelevant.

NOTE: There are several observations and variations of this scenario that are notable:

1. The referee's priority is to focus on the player that first initiated a volley, in this case Player A. The correct call depends on the outcome of that player's act of volleying. The referee can rely on their peripheral vision to detect Player B's volley and momentum situation, and other action that may occur.
  2. In this case, Player A eventually contacted the NVZ and therefore is called for the fault. If Player A had eventually regained control of their momentum without contacting the NVZ, then they did not commit a fault and Player B would be called for their NVZ momentum fault. If both players eventually regained control of their momentum without contacting the NVZ, then neither will have committed a fault and the outcome of the rally will be determined by how the ball became dead (i.e., the ball was out, hit into the net, hit a permanent object, bounced twice, etc.)
  3. The momentum condition from a volley continues through any subsequent dead ball or play stoppage. If play stops for any reason, including an out call, a dead ball, or the referee calling Player B's NVZ fault, the referee must observe the outcome of Player A's momentum to determine the correct fault call as described in #2 above.
  4. In the original scenario, when the referee observes Player B's NVZ fault, the referee must announce Player B's NVZ fault while continuing to watch Player A's momentum. A player may perceive this as stopping play, but the momentum conditions continue as explained in #3 above and the referee will eventually determine which fault takes precedence as explained in #2 above.
  5. In the original scenario, if Player B was in contact with the NVZ when their volley was struck, the outcome would be the same. Their volley will have ended even sooner than if they had a momentum issue, but the fault call remains against Player A based on when each act of volleying started.
- 

## CASE 1-22: DEFLECTING NET CONTACTS A PLAYER

Applicable Rule(s): [11.L.5.d](#); [7.G](#)

**SCENARIO:** Player A1 is dinking with Player B1. Player A1 hits a dink that takes them into the non-volley zone very close to the net. Player B1 goes to return the ball but hits it hard into the net, causing the net to stretch and touch Player A1's paddle. The ball drops on Player B1's side without going over the net.

**RULING:** Because the net moved and flexed to the point it contacted Player A1, this should be considered a hinder in accordance with Rule 11.L.5.d (net malfunction). A replay should be granted.

**COMMENT:** Players should have a reasonable expectation that court equipment will function as designed and that the equipment will not move or flex excessively during play. Players cannot be expected to anticipate how much a net can flex from an independent, transient action. Such transient actions include a ball hitting the net or the wind blowing hard enough that the net billows out. Play should normally continue, but if the net malfunctions to the point that it contacts a player, then a replay should be granted. Replays are also granted for other transient, unexpected net malfunctions, such as when a temporary net moves from its normal position on the court due to wind or the ball hits the center pole if it protrudes up through the top of the net.

---

## **CASE 2-22: BALL CONTACTS A PLAYER'S HAT**

**Applicable Rule(s):** [7.H](#); [11.H](#)

**SCENARIO:** A player makes a quick move to make a play on the ball. In the process, the player's hat slips off her head. The ball hits the hat before the hat lands on the court.

**RULING:** Because the hat has not yet landed on the court, the hat is still considered part of the player, even though it is not on the head. Accordingly, it is a fault on the player whose hat was hit by the ball. (*Rule 7.H*) If the hat lands on the court before being hit by the ball, the hat becomes part of the court, and the ball remains in play. (*Rule 11.H*)

---

## **CASE 3-22: CALLING TIME-OUT TO GET THE REFEREE'S ATTENTION**

**Applicable Rule(s):** [4.B.8](#); [10.A](#)

**SCENARIO:** A player wants to get the referee's attention quickly to ask a question and says "time-out". The referee hears the time out call and starts to follow standard time-out procedures. The player tells the referee that he just wanted to ask a question before the serve and not take an official time-out.

**RULING:** Since the player was just trying to get the referee's attention, the referee should answer the player's question and recall the score to resume play.

**COMMENT:** In this scenario, the phrase "time-out" is just being used to get the referee's attention and not to call for an official time-out. While other phrases are preferred to get the referee's attention, the referee should not charge a time-out to the player when their intention is to simply ask a question or make an appeal.

---

## **CASE 5-22: BALL TRAVELS BETWEEN THE NET AND NET POST AFTER LANDING**

**Applicable Rule(s):** [7.C](#); [11.I.1](#)

**NOTE:** Rule 7.C establishes a fault for hitting the ball under the net or between the net and the net post. The question arises what happens after a shot hits the opponent's court with enough backspin to travel back between the net post and net. Is it fault on the striking player? This case answers the question.

**SCENARIO:** Team A hits a ball across the net. The ball lands in bounds on Team B's court but with enough backspin (or due to the wind) that the ball travels back through the gap between the net and the net post.

**RULING:** Team A has executed a legal shot by hitting the ball across the net and in bounds. Team B must make a play on the ball before the ball either hits a permanent object, bounces twice, or otherwise becomes dead. In this scenario, Team B did not make a play before the ball traveled through the gap between the net and net post. Team B may, however, reach over, under or around the net to make a play on the ball as provided for in Rule 11.I.1.

---

## **CASE 6-22: RECORDING THE PENALTY FOR A TECHNICAL FOUL**

**NOTE:** These scenarios examine what happens when a technical foul and a fault happens in close proximity to one another. They describe how to record the penalty based on the timing of the technical foul in relation to the fault. The timing is important because of the impact on which player serves and from what position.

**Applicable Rule(s):** [13.G.2.a](#); [13.G.2.b](#); [13.G.3.e](#); [3.A.43](#)

**SCENARIO ONE:** Player A1 is serving with the score 0-8-2 and serves the ball into the net. The ball hits the ground and the referee announces "side out." Player A1 then turns and aggressively throws the paddle in frustration causing it to break. The referee appropriately announces "technical foul, unsportsmanlike conduct." (*Rule 13.G.2.a*)

**RULING:** The service fault results in a side out, so the referee must determine which event to record first, the technical foul penalty or the side out. In this case, the rally ended before Player A threw the paddle, so the proper sequence is to record the side out first and then record the technical foul penalty. The moment the rally ended, the status of play immediately became Player B1 (who is Team B's starting server) serving from the right service area with the score 8-0-1. Since Team A had a score of zero, one point is awarded to Team B. (*Rule 3.A.43*) The serve still belongs to Player B1, but since the point was awarded to them after the side out occurred, the score is now 9-0-1 and Player B1 must serve from the left service area. This breaks with the convention that the first server after a side out always serves from the right service area, but it is consistent with how a technical foul that occurs at any other point in a game would be recorded. A point added to or subtracted from a serving team's score does not affect who the server is. The serving team simply reacts to the change in points by adjusting the server's position. The same holds true in this case. Player B1 was the correct server after the side out (before the technical foul), so B1 remains the server after the technical foul is recorded and serves from the left service area.

**SCENARIO TWO:** Player A1 serves with the score 0-8-2. Team B returns the serve. Player A2 then mishits a very high third shot lob that is clearly going to be out of bounds. While the ball is in the air, Player A2 in frustration screams an extremely objectionable profanity. By rule, the referee must always wait until the rally ends to call a technical foul. (*Rule 13.G.3.e*) So here, after Team B makes the "out" call the referee announces "technical foul" first and then "side out." (*Rule 13.G.2.b*)

**RULING:** As with Scenario One, the referee must determine which event to record first, the technical foul penalty first or the side out. In this case, the Player A2 uttered the profanity before the rally ended, so the proper sequence is to record the technical foul penalty first and then record the side out. One point is added to Team B, giving them nine points. The side out is then recorded, which means the serve goes over to Team B with the score 9-0-1. Player B1 is Team B's starting server, so the next rally begins with Player B2 serving from the right service area at 9-0-1.

---

## **CASE 1-21: MULTIPLE SIMULTANEOUS FAULTS**

**Applicable Rule(s):** [4.A.4.b](#); [4.A.9](#); [4.M.7](#); [4.M.10](#)

**SCENARIO:** The score is called, and the server's foot simultaneously touches the baseline and uses an illegal service motion, both of which the referee sees. What should the referee do?

**RULING:** The referee must immediately call "*Service foot fault; and illegal service motion.*" This approach informs the player both infractions. It may appear sufficient to identify one of the faults since only one fault is charged to the team for scoring purposes. However, both faults must be called.

**COMMENT:** The guiding principle is that the referee must identify all violations as soon as they are realized. For example, if only the service foot fault was called, the player would not know they need to correct the illegal service motion and might commit the same fault on the next rally.

---

## Index of Cases by Rule Number

This index lists rule numbers in numerical order and the cases to which the rule is applicable.

<b>Rule</b>	<b>Case</b>	<b>Rule</b>	<b>Case</b>
3.A.7	<a href="#">7-23</a>	10.A	<a href="#">3-22</a>
3.A.16	<a href="#">4-24</a>	10.B	<a href="#">5-23</a>
3.A.43	<a href="#">6-22</a>	10.B.2	<a href="#">1-25</a>
4.A.4	<a href="#">1-24</a>	10.B.2.c	<a href="#">1-25</a>
4.A.4.b	<a href="#">1-21</a>	10.H.2.a	<a href="#">1-25</a>
4.A.5	<a href="#">2-24</a>	11.G	<a href="#">4-24</a>
4.A.9	<a href="#">9-23</a> , <a href="#">1-21</a> , <a href="#">1-24</a>	11.H	<a href="#">2-22</a> , <a href="#">4-24</a>
4.B.8	<a href="#">7-23</a> , <a href="#">3-22</a>	11.I.1	<a href="#">5-22</a>
4.B.10	<a href="#">3-24</a> , <a href="#">3-25</a>	11.L.5.d	<a href="#">1-22</a>
4.C.2	<a href="#">7-23</a>	12.B.1.c	<a href="#">5-25</a>
4.F.1	<a href="#">5-25</a>	12.F.2	<a href="#">1-25</a>
4.M.7	<a href="#">1-21</a>	12.F.3	<a href="#">1-25</a>
4.M.10	<a href="#">1-21</a>	13.A.1	<a href="#">4-23</a>
5.A.2	<a href="#">3-24</a>	13.A.2	<a href="#">4-24</a>
6.C.3	<a href="#">2-25</a>	13.C.5.h	<a href="#">7-23</a>
6.C.7.a	<a href="#">13-23</a>	13.G.1	<a href="#">6-23</a>
6.C.7.b	<a href="#">13-23</a> , <a href="#">3-25</a>	13.G.1.e	<a href="#">7-23</a>
7.C	<a href="#">5-22</a>	13.G.1.i	<a href="#">6-23</a>
7.G	<a href="#">1-22</a>	13.G.2.a	<a href="#">6-23</a> , <a href="#">6-22</a>
7.H	<a href="#">2-22</a> , <a href="#">6-25</a>	13.G.2.b	<a href="#">6-22</a>
8.C	<a href="#">4-24</a>	13.G.3.c	<a href="#">5-25</a>
9.B	<a href="#">4-24</a> , <a href="#">6-25</a>	13.G.3.e	<a href="#">6-22</a>
9.B.1	<a href="#">14-23</a> , <a href="#">6-25</a>	13.G.4	<a href="#">4-25</a>
9.C	<a href="#">14-23</a> , <a href="#">6-25</a>	13.H.4	<a href="#">4-23</a> , <a href="#">1-25</a>
9.D	<a href="#">8-23</a>		

